



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,155	04/03/2001	Ariel Ruiz i Altaba	1049-1-008 N CON	2618
23565	7590	06/24/2004	EXAMINER	
KLAUBER & JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			NICKOL, GARY B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1642

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/825,155	AL TABA, ARIEL RUIZ I	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Veronica Mallon.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

Dahmane et al


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants informed the Examiner that the missing reference (attached as Exhibit A in the previous Action) could be downloaded from the internet. The reference was downloaded and would be considered in context with any after-Final summations submitted by Applicants. Applicants were also informed that the Examiner would seek guidance for claims drawn to antisense molecules..